	COLL DISPLY SOLL EVANING BUT GOT ATT LITE
	DAYS THEY this HAVING NO PLAY ANGAS, PARKS CAN
	IS THE PROBLEM WITH CHILD ROW BETHAVIOUR THUSE
	LAMING TO SEE ABLE TO KICK A BALL AMOUND, THU
	SHOW S CHIMADER BE DEPUTYED OF A PIECE OF
	NOT Vary GOOD AROUND HORE AS IT IS. ALSO WHY
	WHERE LOWE THE WATER RUN TO DRAINAGE IS
	SO IF HOUSES OR FLATS ARE PROPOSED FOR THIS SITE
	WINTER OF IF WE HAVE HEAVY DOWN POUR OF RAIN
	OF MAND, OUR BACK GARDENS GET FROMSO IN
	15 WHAT IS COME TO BE BUILD ON THIS PIECE
	A RISCE OF GRESSINGY BUT OUR HAIN CONCERNS
	DE HAMP WHICH IS VORY REASONS. TO BE FRUE TO SEE
	ABOVE NAMED, OUR HOUSE BACKS ONTO THIS PLOCE
	WE HALL OBJECTING TO THE PROPERTY ) YEROSAL OF THE
	RE: DEPRIOR No. SPS 1386 DORMING ROAD KAND RH3 94x
Yours Sincoppy	
	RH3 946 26/6/13
PIGG OF CHILDREAS ORGAN SPACE CAN SE SPACED.	hatan
WILL NOT BO SIC GROWENT, I AM SHALL A SMALL	HARROWS HILL
BUILDING THAT IS BGING DONG AND PROPOSED TO  BUILDING THAT IS BGING DONG AND PROPOSED TO	

Harold Hill, Romfrond, Essex. RM3 94x.

Dear Ner Burns,

I am writing to you today to object

against building on the back of my property in Dorseing Ad which used to be a play area for the Children. The Swings was taken away and now I have learnt that it is being built on, How would you like it it you sit is four barder and lister to workmen maxing a noise, extra traffic from buildes horries. As it is I had to vacat my large for homes to be built on them, so now I have to pay more on my Ensurance because my lar is on the road. I done think this is fair because we get no warning from Haweing lourcil they just do as they like and we have to put up with it. Not all new Homes being built an for people on the waiting list. This will always lause more parting issues as their is not enough places to park as it is. How many more open breen

spaces are we going to lose, for you to keep building on. Please reply to this letter.

Yours faithbull

Dear Mr Burns,

My Wife and I Object to the proposed disposal of the park next to our house in Dorking Road, We have lived in Harold hill for over 27 years and in our present home for 14 of them, We live at 84 Dorking Road, we regularly tidy the park and keep in close contact with Roy Ojalade at the council to make him aware if it is getting messy or overgrown, it is a Park that local people feel safe to bring their young children as it has only one way in and out so with little monitoring they can play safely, in fact families often picnic there in the summer. I am surprised this site was even considered for building as it is not waste ground it is and always has been a family park the Council only removed the swings and see saw a few years back but it is still as popular now as it always was.

To my utter dismay I have been told by another local resident it has been proposed to sell the park as in the councils opinion it is not required, I am absolutely gutted as this local authority seems to be hell bent on building on every bit of green field we have in our community, without a care it seems on the effect on its infrastructure, I have seen devastating changes locally in the last few years large fields developed tearing down of tennis courts to do it and ripping up allotment sites which had a stream next to it, when I have to be on a waiting list to have any chance of getting a local allotment.

Apart from changing the dynamics of an area by building so many new homes, what about natural soak away areas with the change in climate and more and more areas flooding surely this can't make sense long term for our community we are all aware of the clay soil in this borough and flooding is a real risk with the streams ponds and fields that regularly flood with heavy rain fall with the existing soak away areas it will get to be a real problem if we keep using fields as housing development sites, especially with all the larger scale developments with hundreds of houses and flats being built on what was natural grassed areas why would you want to remove all smaller soak away sites, and so add to the possibility of local flooding? I am also concerned about the infrastructure many hospitals were closed over the lasy few years and developed for more housing then Queens was built and the first time king Georges hospital closed its A&E Queens actually locked its A&E doors as it could not cope with the greater loading of patients! And King Georges A&E is planned to close permanently in the future what with the extra traffic this closure will generate and the massive increase in local inhabitants due to this over development in Havering does anyone who authorises these plans actually live here? I can't believe they do.

Councillors Pat Murray and Lawrence Webb have told me how they are opposed to these developments as strongly as myself and local residents, Mr Murray told me of damage some of these developments have caused to properties close to the development sites, and this will have more effects on more homes as the land settles there is a risk of subsidence collapse, flooding etc especially as it is a clay area, this will happen over time but needs to be considered now, he also explained how the health care locally is already stretched to breaking point and how the new centre in Harold

wood is catering for its predicted annual patient turnover monthly! And that's before the new developments in the region of 1000 new homes have people living in them. Is there anything you can do to try and stop this policy of selling off every piece of green field that we have in our community for development surely local feelings should be addressed, You are turning a lovely green environment into an urban jungle I have never actually been notified neither has anyone locally about these developments it took a stranger knocking at my door asking questions about the development she read about in the Romford recorder, it seems these developments are going ahead at an alarming rate very low key without considering people who live here if you actually wanted to consider local people's views why didn't you advertise your intensions to sell these plots in the Havering bulletin which would have insured everyone local would know what you intended in time to complain. I have got a petition of local residents close to the park in Dorking Road and so far every person who answered their door were dead set against development of this site, in fact many were furious they knew nothing about it as they wanted to write to you and object themselves but did not now have the time, My local councillor Lawrence Webb is going to present you with the original document in person but I will include a scanned copy of the petition and the signatures I have so far before I post this objection.

Many locals who have lived here for generations are now selling up as the local area is being turned more and more urban, if we had wanted to live in a densely populated area we would have bought a house in another London borough. Once these areas are gone they are gone forever!

Yours sincerely

	Havering Council have announced plans to sell this Park for development which will lead to the loss of another green space and lead to increase congestion in the area.
Action petitioned for	We, the undersigned, are concerned local residents who oppose the sale of the (Park) land in Dorking Road.

Printed Name

Date C. 20-6-2013 20.6-13 x-21-6-13 21.06 20.08 > 21.06.4 21.6.13 21.6.13 21.6/13 21/06/13

		iking itoda itino	
Printed Name	Signature	Address	Date
			2015
			22/6.
			22/6.
			22/6.
			22/6
			22/6
			296
			22/6
			22/6
			249
10,18			22/6
			22/6
			22/6.
			226.
			2/6
			22/6
			ч
			4
,			22/6. 22/6
			27/6
			22/6

Printed Name	Signature	Address	Date
			24/4/15
			72/4/
			13
			22/6/3
			. 22/1
			111 1413
			1 22/5/13
			22/6/4
			246/1
			100/6/10
			22/6/13
			22/6/13
			22/6/13
a.			22/6/1
\$100			2/6/1
			22/6/
			22/3/15
			22/6/3
			-
			22/6/1
			22/6/1
			27 613
			22/6/13 22/6/13 22/6/13
28			2.11/2
			246/13
			12/6/

	in Do	rking Road RM3	UKIP
Printed Name	Signature	Address	Date
			22/6
			22/62013
			22/0/2013
			22/6/2018
			1 n
			<u> </u>
			<u>بر</u>
			22/0/13
			22.6.13
			22/6/13
3			22/6/13
-5 *			22/1/
			22/1
			221613
			22-6-13
			22/6/13
			22/6/13
			22/6/13
			2/8/15
20			22/6/13
			22-6-13
			22613

Drintad Nama

Signature

Address

1	Date	
1	22/6/3	
+		
=	22.6.1	\$
-	દા* પ	
	11	
	(1	
	, e	
	22.6.13	
	u	
	12/1/3	
24	22/6/13	
	22/6/1	3
	22/6	
	22/4/	3
	lase I	
	22.6.0	
	22.6.13	
	22.6.1	3
	20.000	-17
	22.6	-13
	ч	
	и	
		4

	IKING NOAU KMS	\0	
Printed Name	Signature	Address	Date
			220613
			22-06-13
			4
			22-06-13
			22-06-13
			23/6/13
			13/6/1
			23/6/13
			23/6/1
			23/6/15
			23/6/
-7.			23/5/1
			23/6/1
			23/6/1
			23/6/13
			23/6/13
			13/13
			23/6/13
			23/6/13
			23/06/13 23/06/13 23/06/13 23/06/19
			23/06/19
		,	23/06/1

Printed Name	Signature	Address	Date
			24/6
			2
			+ /
			[246]
			34/6-
			24/6
			24/6
			14/6
			4
			24/6/2013
			24/6/13
5.8			4
			24/6/13
			24/6/13
			ъ,
			+
			2 -
			-

		KING KOAU KINO	Date
ad Heme	Signature	Address.	
	E 250 X		24/6/13
			edibles.
			1
G.			24/4/13
			24/6/13
			24/6/13
			2463
			24/4/13
			24/6/13
			241911
			24/6/13
			24/0/0
			-71-1
			24/6/13
.3.			76/12
- G - w.			4900
			24/0/13
			134.3
			1101
			W11 5
			WAL
			2
			25/6/13
			25/6/13
			25/6/13 25/6/13
			25/s/D
			25,6/13
			25,6/13

	IN Do	rking Road RM3	
Printed Name	Signature	Address	Date
			24/6
			ů
			24/6
			24/6:
			24/8
			24/6
			14/6
			4
			24/6/2013
			24/6/17
ş.			4
			24/6/23
			24/6/13
			h
			-
			+
ē			-
			-

	0		
Printed Name	Signature	Address	Date /
			1246
			24/6
			100
			21,00
			2-1-
			(1, 13)
			21/6/13
			+
-3.			-
			-
			-
			+
			-

Collier Row Romford Essex RM5 3UL S JOSE SE

## Mr Ian Burns

We would deeply object on the selling off of the land behind Harlow Gardens for many reasons. There are families that live in the flats that are on longer eligible to be re-homed, and have been taken of the council housing list, to go into a council house "and they are overcrowded" and now you are taking away the only play and green area that is near to them and us. Children are always playing in the park area and have done so since I moved in 13 years ago.

There is no other play or green area near so children can play, for our children's nearest play or green area is across many main roads.

I can not see how you the council would be able to have lorries or emergency serveries get access to the area if it get developed

If its all about the cost of maintenance to the area, I think that the residents in Harlow gardens should have the opportunity to extends there gardens, which many of us suggested the passed, when asked by the council in a letter, as we all have very tiny gardens

If you take this area away from the children, you the council will then be making the children play in the streets, the street that you the council are making busier, with through traffic in Harlow gardens.

The council deemed it necessary to put a park there in the first place, with the amount of resident in the area, which has not diminished only grown, so why would you the council want to take that away.

There is also many fantastic thing in the play area, much wildlife and two very old Royal Oak trees aswell as many other old trees lining the park area.

There are many large areas of grass and fields around Collier Row, why take away a small bit of park area in a densely populated area.

And if you take a look at the map all the other flat in the area have bigger gardens, I suppose this is because we had access to the park which you are trying to take away. I thought you had to have so much space per occupant.

Regards

1

London Borough of Havering Legal & Democratic Services Town Hall Main Road Romford RM1 3BY

Romford RM5 3RX

ATTN: Mr Ian Burns

25<sup>th</sup> June 2013

Dear Mr Burns

I refer to the notice placed in the Romford Recorder on 31st May/ 7<sup>th</sup> June regarding the intention to sell the land at the rear of Harlow Gardens/Highfield Close.

As I am not sure who the Council will sell the land to ( either for residential or commercial use) I initially object to the planned disposal of this land. The only access to the land is at one end albeit a restricted access and this will limit what the land is used for unless there is going to be consideration for compulsory purchase of properties.

I did raise an issue concerning the land in 2011 and Helen Oakerbee replied saying that if the Council was to dispose of the land then a report would be taken to Council's Cabinet meeting – no doubt this has now happened and could you forward me a copy.

I look forward to hearing from you in due course.

Yours sincerely,

Date. 25" June 2013.

Collier Row Romford Essex

JO I an Burns.

With regards of Apeal too upu Sciling land behind my property for Development. I Strongly object too this as this would Cut my Sun light plus my privacey 1 bought this house in September 2012 reasons being Seauded & private. I feel very depressed with this knowledge because 1 am diabetec & cussabled.

Please take my appeal toto Consideration. I await your anticipation

Mr Ian Burns.
London Borough Of Havering,
Legal & Democratic Services,
Town Hall, Romford
Main Road RM5 3RU
Romford

25th June 2013

Letter of Objection: Disposal of Open Space -Harlow Garden Land, Collier Row  $RM5\ 3UL$ .

Dear Mr Burns.

We have seen the notice in the Romford Recorder that the London Borough of Havering intends to dispose of the plot of land to the rear of Harlow Gardens for development.

We are requesting that you reconsider this decision and not include our local green space in this scheme.

We would also like to draw your attention to recent comments by Councillor Andrew Curtin re the benefits of green space to a community. I have contacted him re this,

The area of land to the rear of Harlow Gardens is the only piece of public open green space within easy walking distance of the Hillrise, Highfield area: This green space is used in the summer, it is the only open space in the local area that children an use safely.

Harlow Gardens Green space has been the subject of neglect by the Council for the last 10 years. The rubbish bin at the entrance has been overflowing for some time – I have previously photographed it.

Money was wasted on installing CCTV cameras in the area, only for the play equipment to be removed and never to be replaced.

It is almost as if by neglecting the space the council were creating the conditions necessary to justify it's disposal.

We understand that these are difficult economic times and LBH are attempting to save money but local residents views should be given due and adequate consideration

Once this park is sold to developers it can never be reclaimed. I understand that spending money on this area may not be considered a priority but an area of open space for this already overcrowded part of Collier Row is very important asset for the local residents.

The Park has been neglected by the Council, but is still utilised by the community and wildlife. The upkeep would not actually be that expensive. There are currently efforts to start a Community Group in Collier Row—inectings have been held—Councillor Geoff Starns being one of those in attendance—money is apparently available for various projects to benefit the community—yet the Council want sell this space and disadvantage the Community. Have you considered attempting to start a community allotment project on part of this green space.

The green space is surrounded on 3 sides by residential property. Due to the gradient of the land any properties built

on this land will be overlooked or will be overlooking their neighbours.

This will result in loss of privacy for the current residents, and will result in an unsightly

development being built in an unsuitable location.

Access to the park via Harlow Gardens is not a suitable road for through traffic:

Harlow Gardens, is a cul-de-sac that is not suitable to be used as an access

road. The use of lorries by developers in this road will be very

dangerous for local residents and cause huge inconvenience.

The resulting extra traffic in Harlow Gardens due to any development will also be an added

danger and nuisance.

There is also the issue of the effect of increased traffic in Highfield Road as this is the obvious route round into Harlow Gardens. Highfield Road is already extremely noisy and busy.

We believe from discussion with residents in Harlow Gardens that they had previously been asked for ideas of how this

green space could be affectively utilised. Some had suggested that their garden space by increased. Part of Highfield Road backs onto this green space but residents in this row were not afforded the same courtesy.

Development of this site will cause disruption to residents and increased danger to residents in the surrounding roads.

Perhaps if you were to visit this site you would see how unsuitable this land is for development and also see how it could become a valuable community resource - many local residents with families do not have gardens of their own,

We are therefore confirming our objections to the disposal of this green space and request that the decision is given further consideration.

We feel very strongly against the development of this site as do our neighbours. Many neighbours were unaware of the proposal as no individual communications had been sent nor has there been a notice of intent posted on the gates.

Yours Sincerely

Mr Ian Burns.
London Borough Of Havering,
Legal & Democratic Services,
Town Hall,
Main Road
Romford
RM1 3BY

Collier Row Romford RM5 3RU

24<sup>th</sup> June 2013

Letter of Objection: Disposal of Open Space - Harlow Garden Land, Collier Row RM5 3UL.

Dear Mr Burns.

I see from a notice in the Romford Recorder that London Borough of Havering intends to sell the plot of land to the rear of Harlow Gardens for development,

I write to you to please think again on your decision and not to include our local park in this scheme.

Please see below the details of my objections:-

1. The area of land at the rear of Harlow Gardens is the only piece of public open space that is within easy walking distance of the Hillrise / Highfield area:

This park is used in the summer as it is the only open space in the local area that children can kick a ball and run around safely.

I have lived in Highfield Road for 20 years and use the park regularly, beginning with my children and now with my Grandson, where we go to kick a ball and enjoy the open space. Harlow Gardens Park has been the subject of neglect by the Council for the last 10 years. Money was wasted on installing CCTV cameras in the park, only to remove the play equipment a month later never to be replaced. This has resulted in most of the children now playing in the street. I understand that these are austere times and LBH are doing their bit to save money. But please ask yourself...

## "At What Price".

Once this park is sold to developers it is gone <u>forever!!</u> I understand that there is not currently money available to invest in the park now, but an area of open space for this already overcrowded part of Collier Row is very important asset for the local residents now and in the future.

The Park has been neglected by the Council, but is still enjoyed by the community and the wildlife. Surely the small cost to the council for its upkeep which amounts to a bit of grass

cutting is a small price to pay.

- 2. The land is surrounded on 3 sides and most of the land is on a very high slope: Due to the gradient of the land and its location to surrounding homes, any properties built on this land will be overlooked or will be overlooking their neighbours. This will result in loss of privacy for the current residents, and will result in an unsightly development being built in an unsuitable location.
- 3. Access to the park via Harlow Gardens is not a suitable road for through traffic: Harlow Gardens, is a quiet and safe cul-de-sac that is not suitable to be used as an access road to any development. The use of lorries by developers in this road will be very dangerous for local residents and cause huge inconvenience.
  The resulting extra traffic in Harlow Gardens due to any development will also be an added danger and nuisance.

I am sure the issues I have mentioned above must detract from the value of this land for development purposes.

These features however, do <u>not</u> detract at all from its value as a local park and open space. Development of this site will cause disruption to residents and increased danger to residents in the surrounding roads.

If you visit this site you would see for yourself how unsuitable this land is for development use, but also how delightful the space is for residents now, and in the future, many of which do not have gardens of their own.

I therefore ask that you rethink your decision to sell off Harlow Gardens Park.

I will be happy to discuss my concerns with you further if you wish and look forward to hearing your answer to my concerns.

I feel very strongly against the development of this site as I am sure do my neighbours. However as the Council have not posted any details of its intentions through the residents doors, and also have not posted a letter of intent on the park gates, I am sure many of my neighbours are unaware of your intention.

Please feel free to contact me at the above address / telephone or email me at-

Resident:

Yours Sincerel	ly			
	×			

Mr Ian Burns London Borough of Havering Legal & Democratic Services Town Hall Main Raod Romford RM1 3BY

Collier Row Romford RM5 3RU

26 June 2013

## SPS1715 - Harlow Garden Land, Collier Row, RM5 3UL

#### Dear Mr Burns

I am writing with regards to the planning application notice that was in the Romford Recorder on the 31 May and 7 June.

I am a resident in Highfield Road, and our property is very close to the land. I have not received a neighbour notification letter regarding the proposed sale and development of the land, and there have not been any site notices posted.

We have concerns regarding the salp of the land for development for a number of reasons, of which I list below.

The park area at the end of the garden, is the only part of our home where we are not over looked, and our right to privacy we feel will be considerably compromised. Our right to natural light, depending on the type of development our natural light will also be impacted. We have endured months of noise pollution, with the recent sale and development of a garaged area close to our home and now have the threat of this again.

Environmental issues, we have very little natural green space in the area, and the park is used by the local community, there is a wealth of wildlife using the land and there are often bats flying over my garden from the park area, hedgehogs also live in the hedge row areas of the park.. To lose this urban oasis will be devastating to the local area.

Access to the park, there is no vehicle access to the part, so how would construction vehicles, and mains services gain access? Given the natural topography of the land, and if developed has any consideration to the local water drainage been given any thought?

The date of this letter is the 26th June, and have only been aware of this proposed sale for 2 days, this has given less than 4 days to formally write to you, it is of concern the lack of formal notification the residents who will be impacted by this proposed sale have received, in accordance with the councils own policies and procedures.

I look forward to hearing from you.

My contact details are below:

Collier Row Romford RM5 3RU

Yours sincerely

F.A.O Ian Burns
The London Borough of Havering
Legal & Democratic Services,
Town Hall,
Main Road,
Romford,
RM1 3BY

Romford Essex RM5 3QX

Ref: Harlow Gardens Land

24 June 2013

Dear Sir.

With regards to the piece of land at the rear of Harlow Gardens we are strongly objecting to its disposal to developers for what can only be assumed is for construction of new homes. Whilst we appreciate that there is a need for new dwellings for our over burdened country, we feel that the use of the land that is at the end of our garden is a step too far. Besides the fact that it is used regularly by small children that can be seen by their parents in the adjoining row of houses it is also used by dog owners to exercise their pets.

Other issues have also come to light such as the impact on Harlow Gardens residents with regards to Emergency access to the site should it be built upon. Having read London Fire Brigade literature concerning their minimum requirements for access and the Disability Act which also has minimum access needs, we feel that the width of the piece of land is not wide enough to be used for development. The gradient of the land upon entering will also be an issue when turning, as a fully loaded Fire Appliance would not be able to negotiate the bend safely. Parking restrictions in Harlow Gardens would also compromise access to this plot. The entrance to this plot is also very narrow and we have no desire for its widening by removing garden from the tenant in the end house.

Two large Oak trees are also present, with the current emphasis on going green and saving the planet it would be a travesty to have them removed for the sake of a very small gain in terms of accommodation. The wildlife that these two trees harbour is priceless.

Street lights on any new development will also be required, which will directly impinge on our quality of life in the evenings. We chose to move and live here based on the current surroundings.

At no time has there been a public meeting with all residents concerned, to discuss the sale of this land, it would appear that the Council are willing to ride roughshod over our interests. One can only assume that after having seen site surveyors taking measurements only a few weeks ago, that approval of some sort of scheme is already in the pipeline. It would seem that giving 28 days notice of the Councils intentions is appropriate enough, hoping that no-one would have the time or inclination to disapprove of their plans, when your resident Council Tax payers want anything done, it takes far longer.

If the Council wants to be rid of this small plot of uneven ground, give it to all the residents backing on to it so they can have a larger garden, that way the Council will not have to maintain it.

We will continue to object to any proposals for this site

Yours sincerely

## Romford RM5 3QX

FAO: Mr Ian Burns London Borough of Havering Legal & Democratic Services Town Hall Main Road Romford RM1 3BY

24<sup>th</sup> June 2013

Dear Mr Burns

Re: Harlow Gardens Land, Collier Row, RM5 3UL - Drawing No. SPS1715

It has been drawn to my attention that the London Borough of Havering intends to appropriate the above-named land for "planning purposes in the interests of the proper planning of the area".

I wish to register my objection to this appropriation as I am anxious that this land could be sold to an interested party for development.

This land is directly behind my garden and I fear that this could have an adverse effect on the quiet enjoyment of my property to which I am entitled as a freehold owner since 1976.

It will also reduce the amount of Greenfield land in the immediate area and hence be detrimental to the local environment.

This land was originally intended as a play area for children and I believe this is what it should be used for – if at all. With the correct work to level it out and provide soft landing ground (i.e. not concrete) it could be utilised to enhance the area, rather than be spoilt by development.

I am copying this letter, with details of the proposed appropriate, to the Environmental Law Foundation, to gain their expert assistance in this matter. They exist to help communities fight against planning decisions that will affect their local environment.

I look forward to hearing from you that this proposed appropriation has been cancelled.

Yours sincerely

DAZ 250 - LUNE - 2013 2 LANUE MM KIDLE MY DELECTION WISH 10 TO THIS PROPOSAL TO THE DEVELOPMENT LAND IN LARLOW GONS WING IS GOING TO BE WHAT IS GROING TO BE NOT GOING TO DO TOO MUCH TO THE STATUS OB THEN MAYBE ALL THE DELECTIONS MAY SE WITHDRAWN IN DUE COURSE.

To:-

Ian Burns. Acting Assistant Chief Executive, London Borough of Havering, Town Hall, Main Road. Romford, RM1 3BD.

Ref:- SPS1715.Harlow Gardens Land, Collier Row, RM5 3UL.

Dear Sir,

I wish to register my objection to the London Borough of Havering proposal under the Local Government Act 1972 section 122(1), (2A) in regard to the site drawing No.:-SPS.1715, Harlow Gardens Land, Collier Row,RM5 3UL.

My reasons for objecting are set out below.

- (1) The original planning of the said area was designated as a 'Children's Play Area' off street and separated from the service traffic of Harlow Gardens and adjacent roads. Thus changing the specified use would not be in the interests of the 'Local Children' and parents of the said children, as all other Green Area's in the vercinity are restricted 'By Order' from playing Ball Games.ect.
- (2) The change in use would be directly opposed to the interests of the local users and remove yet another Green Space Amenity, even though only 0.61 acres is very beneficial to the area.
- (3) Any re-development for Domestic Dwellings, or Business and Commercial Use would dramatically increase the service traffic to the said area. It should be noted that Harlow Gardens is in fact a col-de-sac, without entrance or exit at either end, and not a through road as maybe implied in the title 'Gardens'
- (4) Re-development of this area would very likely require the removal of existing trees from the development area, and also from the possible access and regress to the said site, all of which would detract from the existing benefits to the locals, as presently enjoyed by the local residents.
- (5) In the absents of any specific planning details it would be very foolish to endorse any proposed changes to the use of the said site with out knowing Havering Council ultimate intentions.

I am obliged to ask the question :-

Why have the local residents not been informed and involved in the proposed disposal and development of this local asset as required by the 2006 Act, 2007 Act, 2008 Regulations as prescribed 'have indulged as of right in lawful pastimes on the the land for a period of least 20years, and continue to do so.'? This right has been enjoyed by the local residents for the last 46years. This is a GREEN SPACE.

Yours sincerely,

Collier Row. Romford.Essex. RM5 3QX.

23<sup>rd</sup>. June 2013.

To:-

Ian Burns. Acting Assistant Chief Executive, London Borough of Havering, Town Hall, Main Road. Romford, RM1 3BD.

Ref:- SPS1715. Harlow Gardens Land, Collier Row, RM5 3UL.

Dear Sir,

I wish to register my objection to the London Borough of Havering proposal under the Local Government Act 1972 section 122(1), (2A) in regard to the site drawing No.:-SPS.1715, Harlow Gardens Land, Collier Row,RM5 3UL.

My reasons for objecting are set out below.

- (1) The original planning of the said area was designated as a 'Children's Play Area' off street and separated from the service traffic of Harlow Gardens and adjacent roads. Thus changing the specified use would not be in the interests of the 'Local Children' and parents of the said children, as all other Green Area's in the vercinity are restricted 'By Order' from playing Ball Games.ect.
- (2) The change in use would be directly opposed to the interests of the local users and remove yet another Green Space Amenity, even though only 0.61 acres is very beneficial to the area.
- (3) Any re-development for Domestic Dwellings, or Business and Commercial Use would dramatically increase the service traffic to the said area. It should be noted that Harlow Gardens is in fact a col-de-sac, without entrance or exit at either end, and not a through road as maybe implied in the title 'Gardens'
- (4) Re-development of this area would very likely require the removal of existing trees from the development area, and also from the possible access and regress to the said site, all of which would detract from the existing benefits to the locals, as presently enjoyed by the local residents.
- (5) In the absents of any specific planning details it would be very foolish to endorse any proposed changes to the use of the said site with out knowing Havering Council ultimate intentions.

I am obliged to ask the question :-

Why have the local residents not been informed and involved in the proposed disposal and development of this local asset as required by the 2006 Act, 2007 Act, 2008 Regulations as prescribed 'have indulged as of right in lawful pastimes on the the land for a period of least 20years, and continue to do so.'? This right has been enjoyed by the local residents for the last 46years. This is a GREEN SPACE.

Yours sincerely,

## **Iman Mabrouk**

From:

propertyservices

Sent:

21 June 2013 17:05 Ian Burns; Lorraine Simms

To: Cc:

Kevin Williamson

Subject:

FW: Objection to Harlow Garden Land, Collier Row, RM5 3UL plans.

Ian & Lorraine,

Please see below that arrived in the property Services E mail account

Regards, Lynn

CALLY Wilk uson | Assistant Property Advisar London Borongh of Haveling | Strategic Property Terrices To gard House Warker Mace, Pulinford, 8 VII 3F8 - 01703-472572

From:

On Behalf Of

**Sent:** 21 June 2013 14:44 **To:** propertyservices

Subject: Objection to Harlow Garden Land, Collier Row, RM5 3UL plans.

## ATTN Mr Ian Burns

It has come to our attention that the piece of land, known as the Harlow Gardens Land, at the back of our property is due to be sold for development.

. ... 0 4

I would like to issue a formal objection to these plans. There is no other green spaces near our home, and this is the only grass area that we feel children are safe to play in.

I hope that you take our objection into consideration.

Yours,

Harold Hill Romford Essex RM3 9TU

OBJECTION TO THE PROPOSAL OF SALE OF LAND - SPS 1544

Dear Mr Burns

i strongly object to the sale of the piece of land adjacent to my house 68 Bedale Road, in fact I object to the sale of any Greenland in the area and find it absolutely preposterous to sell perfectly good playable areas where children and wildlife may flourish. Selling this land for development of housing will only serve to bring down the area and add to problems associated with overcrowding. This will definitely affect mine and my family's standard of living to its detriment as well as add to the parking problems.

Harold Hill Romford Essex RM3 9TU

27<sup>th</sup> June 2013

OBJECTION TO THE PROPOSE SALE OF LAND - SPS1544

Mr Burns,

It has come to my attention that the council are selling a piece of land at the side of my house for property development. I have not been informed of this proposal and as I will be directly effected by it I don't understand why I have not been consulted on this matter.

l am writing to inform you on my objection. I deliberately bought this house because of the playing area at the side. This is so that my children could play safely without having to cross the road and that I can look out of my door to check on them. Even though the council took away the play ground equipment all the local children still use the grass regularly to play team games. Parents have piece of mind knowing where their children are.

I object to the proposal on the grounds that it will cause the area to be over crowded with dwellings and school placements. The parking around our area is atrocious as it is. Residents struggle regularly to find appropriate, safe and unconstructive areas to park.

I myself applied to buy a small piece of this land to allow me to extend on my house. I was informed that this was not possible for me to purchase this area because of the by-laws concerning the public footpaths (which runs alongside my house). If this is the case then how is a developer allowed to buy this land for whatever purpose they decide to use it for.

Could you please advise me fully of the councils intentions on this proposal, the time scales involved and what my position is as I am objecting to this scheme.

Looking forward to your prompt reply.

Romford Essex RM3 9XL

Mr I Burns London Borough of Havering Town Hall Main Road Romford RM1 3BD

Dear Mr Burns,

## Re Land at Tiverton Grove / Bedale Road

We are writing regarding the notice of disposal published in the Romford Recorder relating to the above land.

We have a direct view onto this area of land from my property and object to this disposal on the following grounds:

Play area – It provides the only open grassed play area for children in the local vicinity. This lands location and close proximity allows us parents to keep a watch on our children whilst they are playing, knowing they are literally outside. In the current climate of fear of abduction, this gives parents some piece of mind and the children space and freedom to meet and grow as individuals without the restrictions placed on there movements by parents, we wouldn't have this should this land be disposed of.

This area has been used for ball games, picnics, general social occasions by local residents and their guests for many years.

I'm currently looking into whether other residents would consider participating in using this area for the BBC One's 'Playing out scheme'

**Displaced Children** - The result of the disposal of the land and the subsequent dwellings that would be built on the land will in no doubt displace the children that currently use this area to other 'safe' grassed areas (i.e. away from the road), of which there is only one, (this being considerably smaller in comparison) that being between 135 – 151 Dagnam Park Drive. This will lead to increased risk of damage from stray balls, stones, wood, random road furniture and persons that will use that area instead. My vehicle has already been damaged by random events caused by children playing on the driveway outside my property.

Although this area is designated a 'No Ball Games' area, I have no faith in Havering enforcing this bylaw in the same way that they have failed to do so with others.

Harold Hill Romford Essex RM3 9UA

Dear Mr Burns,

I am enclosing this petition to you on behalf of residents of Tiverton Grove/Bedale Road and the surrounding area regarding the councils proposal to dispose of the public green open space at Tiverton Grove/Bedale Road and appropriate it for planning purposes the main objections to this proposal are as follows:

- 1) Loss of public green open space.
- 2) Any development of this site would cause even more parking problems in Tiverton Grove/Bedale Road.
- 3) Council money, which has already been spent on improving the green for the benefit of local residents being wasted.

Yours Faithfully

Cc - Cllr Michael White Cllr Keith Wells



Harold Hill Romford Essex RM3 9UA

Dear Mr Burns,

Regarding the public notices that were published in the Romford recorder on 31/5/2013 and 7/6/2013 stating the council's proposals to dispose of the open space at Tiverton Grove/Bedale Road Harold Hill and appropriate it for planning purposes (SPS1544).

We are objecting to the proposed disposal of land and any development arising from it on the following grounds:

- 1) The permanent loss of public green open space, which is enjoyed and used by the residents and children of Tiverton Grove/Bedale Road and the surrounding area. Loss of this green open space will leave no safe place for our children to play in their immediate area.
- 2) Any development on this green open space would only add to an already acute parking problem in Tiverton Grove/Bedale Road.
- 3) A waste of council monies which have been spent on improving the green in the recent years on turfing/tree planting etc.
- 4) More strain on local services such as school places, doctor's surgeries and utilities.
- 5) Disruption to local residents during construction work and danger from heavy lorries and mechanical plant serving the site.

## Yours Faithfully

Cc - Clir Michael White Clir Keith Wells

Harold Hill

Romford

RM3 9UA

The London Borough of Havering

Legal and Democratic Services

Town Hall

Main Road, Romford

RM1 3BD

24<sup>th</sup> June 2013

#### RE: Tiverton Grove/ Bedale Road - Reference SP\$1544

I am writing to object to the above disposal of land at Tiverton Grove/ Bedale Road (0.25 acres).

By allowing this development to take place our rights to light and use of open space will be diminished, the amount of noise that will be generated will be unbearable for the other residents not to mention the dangers for our children and local community. Including the added pressures of our infrastructure, the drains are constantly blocked and just manageable, any addition to these will put a strain on our already overloaded systems.

Our close nit community will lose valuable space which is and has been in operation for recreational use for many years. Our children use the space every day and there is nothing in the area to match it.

This is a greenfield site and should remain so

Also, any proposed construction on this land will take away the limited parking spaces residents have, and do not have the money to build their own driveways, so already over-congested parking bays will become worse, and this will prevent emergency services from accessing our roads.

Overall, I feel the effects this will have on the local community and wild life will be disastrous. Building on this land is not in the best interests and will not benefit the local community. We would strongly urge you to reconsider your decision to dispose of this entire area and take off the list and leave the land as is.

I would be grateful if you can advise me on the next meeting this will be on agenda, I look forward to receiving this information and response to my letter

Sincerely

10 7

26 JUN 2013

A - 4-473,

Romford RM3 9UA 12<sup>th</sup> June 2013

Ian Burns
The London Borough of Havering
Legal and Democratic Services
Town Hall
Main Road
Romford
RM1 3BD

Dear Mr Burns

#### RE: Disposal of Open Space SPS1544

I am writing to record my objection to the proposed disposal of the open space located at TIVERTON GROVE and BEDALE ROAD, RM3, as published in the Romford Recorder bearing reference SPS1544.

While this area is well used for the pleasure and recreation of the local community, there are wider concerns over the disposal of this land. I understand that the land will be used for housing. While I appreciate the need for housing I do not believe that this area is suitable due to the problem of current overcrowding and severe lack of parking.

Secondly, there is an issue with the destruction of green spaces in the area. It is of vital importance that the green spaces are not destroyed, thus impacting on the atmosphere and ambiance of the area. The proposed land disposal and building programme will have an impact on the value of the houses already in that area. When I bought my property, the influencing factor was the open spaces opposite my front door.

I urge you to reconsider this area as suitable for building and to preserve the open spaces, which are being gobbled up in the name of progress. This proposal is most definitely not in the best interests of the residents and serves further to prove that those who have the power to impact on the resident's lives are not listening to the needs of local people.

I would suggest that further consultation work is completed before any disposal occurs so the local people may have the chance to voice their concerns. I would appreciate further communication from yourself regarding planning and consultation meetings in order that residents may attend.

I look forward to receiving a response from your department.

14 JUN 2013

Harold Hill

Romford

RM3 9UA

The London Borough of Havering

Legal and Democratic Services

Town Hall

Main Road, Romford

1 2 JUN 2013

The Tan

RMI 3BD

06 June 2013

#### RE: Tiverton Grove/ Bedale Road - Reference SPS1544

I am writing to object to the above Disposal of land at Tiverton Grove/ Bedale Road (0.25 acres).

---

By allowing this development to take place our rights to light and use of open space will be diminished, the amount of noise that will be generated will be unbearable for the area residents not too mention the dangers for our children and local community. Including the added pressures of our infrastructure, the drains are constantly blocked and just manageable, any addition to these will put a strain on the already overloaded systems.

Our close nit community will loose a valuable space which is and has been in operation for recreational use for many years. Our children use the space everyday and there is nothing in the area to match it.

This is a Greenfield site and should remain so.

Also, any proposed construction on this land will take away the limited parking spaces residents have, and do not have the money to build their own driveways, so already over-congested parking bays will become worse, thus preventing the emergency services from accessing our roads.

Overall, I feel the effects this will have on the local community and wild life will be disastrous. Building on this land is not in the best interests and will not benefit the local community. We would strongly urge you to reconsider your decision to dispose of this entire area and take off the list and leave the land as is.

I would be grateful if you can advise me on the next meeting this will be on the agenda, I look forward to receiving this information and a response to my letter.

Sincerely

# Harold Hill RM3 9VA.

To whom it may concern.

21-6-13

Please accept this letter as an appeal against Havering Council Selling part of the play /village green at Tiverton Grove.

Firstly how do you expect residents to appeal against the proposal 4 they wish to when no letters were sent to the residents as you rely on them buying the Rompard recorder which not many of us do!!!

There are issues por you to consider and give careful thought to begare this dreadful mistake takes place. Please take note of there as stated below.

- Dangerous to residents as will cause more cars being parked on The Grove and we already striggle with access for the services (emergency) or delivery lorrys.
- 1 The areen is used for many celebrations when residents get rogether and celebrate Royal family

linked events and pre work chaplangs etc.
It helps create a sense of togetherness in The
Crove.

The Green provides a rice sage area for our children & Grandchildren to play and they can be natched over all the time, which many of us have done for 30 years 4 more.

- 1) You are also taking away an area where willlife !e deer are often fund grazing.
- A There are many empty flats/houses in the Hawld Hill area that can be used to rehouse The residents of Newham, why not sell them the eyesore areas such as dumping areas tunsightly ear mash buisness areas, which are lovering the look of OUR Harold Hill.
- We have been informed that the land her already been sold to Newhorn council because they have problems, please DO NOT inflict their problems on to Haverry residents. [1]
- The Properties that most of us own will decrease in value (not pair) as you are helping to destroy the environment in which we like in and home invested in and been poud if for many years!!

  we also feel very very be trajed by Hovering (ouncil (conservatives) as we have always been told that you are a group of people who are intrested in

in doing the bost for Harold Hill residents, clearly this is far from the truth! Do not destroy the area and mere to the point the residents moral.

Please take note of these comments seriously and the actions you are about to undertake for the sake of money you will be making many loyal residents to Havering tery dis mayed + dissolutioned with Havering Connail.

Regards

on behalf of

Harold Hill, Romford, Essex. RM3 9UA.

24/6/13

For the attention Mr Ian Burns.

#### Dear Sir

I strongly object to the appropriation of land at Tiverton Grove/Bedale Road, Harold Hill, RM3 9UA; drawing number SPS 1544, for planning purposes.

This land is and always has been, since the estate was built, a children's play area and greensward and should remain so.

Any development on this land, would not only deprive children of their airy, open and safe play area, but greatly exaggerate the already problematic situation with parking.

I have no objection to the development of garage sites, which on the whole have become derelict rubbish tips, but I object totally to the destruction of any greensward or play areas on Harold Hill! The open planning and airiness of Harold Hill, has long been a feature which has earned praise from many quarters over the years. I am disgusted that this council is intent on destroying this feature and turning Harold Hill into a claustrophobic, overcrowded eyesore. Enough is enough!

Yours, a very angry

C.C. Cllr Michael White Cllr Keith Wells 26 JUN 2013

#### Tiverton Grove Open Space -- Harold Hill

Known Locally as Tiverton Green

NAME

We the undersigned, demand, that Havering Council **DO NOT** under any circumstances sell off or lease the public open space known locally as Tiverton Green

For Housing or any related use, other than Public Green Open Space And that the site, be retained for use by local residents, and their children.

TWEETON GROVE TWEETON GROVE  Tweeton Grove.  Tweeton Grove  Tiverton Grove  Tiverton Grove  Tiverton Grove.  Tiverton Grove.  Tiverton Grove.  Tiverton Grove.	ADDRESS
Tweston Grove.  Tweston Grove.  Tiveston Grove  Tiveston Grove.  Tiveston Grove.  Tiveston Grove.  Tiveston Grove.  Tiveston Grove.	TIVERTON GROVE
Tweston Grove. Tweston Grove Tiveston Grove Tiveston Grove. Tiveston Grove. Tiveston Grove. Tiveston Grove.	Muchan Grove
Tweston Grove. Tweston Grove Tiveston Grove. Tiveston Grove. Tiveston Grove. Tiveston Grove. Tiveston Grove.	Twe ton Grove
Turata Grave Tiverton Grove.  Tiverton Grove.  Tiverton Grave.  Tiverton Grave.  Tiverton Grave.	WELLEN Grove.
Tiverton Grove  Tiverton Grove.  Tiverton GRAVE:  Tiverton GRAVE:	Tweston Grove.
Tiverton Grove.  Tiverton GROVE:  Tiverton grove.	Turata Gran
Tiverton GROVE.	Tiverton Grove
Truerton grove.	Tiverton Grove.
itiverton grove.	TIVERTON GRAVE.
	Truerton grove.
1 tiventon GREVE	1 tiventon Grave

ADDDESS

#### Tiverton Grove Open Space -Harold Hill

Known Locally as Tiverton Green

We the undersigned, demand, that Havering Council **DO NOT** under any circumstances sell off or lease the public open space known locally as Tiverton Green

NAME	ADDRESS
	Triverton
<	TWENTON CROVE H. H.M.
3	_TIVERTON Grove H/Hill
Ŧ: =	TAVERTON GROVE JA/HILL
•	TIJERTON GROUG.
3	Tiverton Grow
	TIVERTUNGE
<u></u>	- TIVERTON GR.
•	Tivenson Gr.
3	Truenton Go
•	TIVERTON GREVE



### Tiverton Grove Open Space -- Harold Hill

Known Locally as Tiverton Green

We the undersigned, demand, that Havering Council **DO NOT** under any circumstances sell off or lease the public open space known locally as Tiverton Green

NAME	ADDRESS
***************************************	ZHORD KORBUT
	Livertin Grove,
	Tivenon Grove.
	Tivestan Grove
**	Tiverton Crove
	Tweston Grove
	Tiverton Grove.
	Tiveton Grove
	Tive fon Chove.
	TIVERION GROVE
	iverton Grove

### Tiverton Grove Open Space -Harold Hill

Known Locally as Tiverton Green

NAME

We the undersigned, demand, that Havering Council **DO NOT** under any circumstances sell off or lease the public open space known locally as Tiverton Green

ADDRESS
ctor Grove
verton Civore
THURRIN GROVE
16 Tivertongrove
Tiverton grove
ecton Grove,
ATON LAGUE
iverton Corore.
wenten Grove
Tueston Grave
verton Grove.

### Tiverton Grove Open Space -Harold Hill

Known Locally as Tiverton Green

We the undersigned, demand, that Havering Council DO NOT under any circumstances sell off or lease the public open space known locally as Tiverton Green

For Housing or any related use, other than Public Green Open Space And that the site, be retained for use by local residents, and their children.

NAME	ADDRESS
	ATIVELLON Grove
	2 TIVERTON GROVE
	o Riverton Crove
	Tiverton Grove
	o Berlale Rd.
	Bedale Rd
	) Bedale Rd
	Beckele Rd
	Bedale Ro
	BEDALE ROAD
	BEDALE ROAD

ADDDECC

### Tiverton Grove Open Space -- Harold Hill

Known Locally as Tiverton Green

We the undersigned, demand, that Havering Council **DO NOT** under any circumstances sell off or lease the public open space known locally as Tiverton Green

NAME	ADDRESS
•	+ Bedale RP
*	BEDALE RD
	Bedele LD
	3 Bedale KD
ं दें ह	bolale Lord
	BEOALE ROMED
	BEDALE ROAD
	- BEDDIE RD
	1 Bedale RD
	JEDALE KY
	Bedale

#### Tiverton Grove Open Space -Harold Hill

Known Locally as Tiverton Green

**NAME** 

We the undersigned, demand, that Havering Council **DO NOT** under any circumstances sell off or lease the public open space known locally as Tiverton Green

ADDRESS
"- GI Bedali Road
empard, Errex, RM39TU
63 Bedale ROAD
RM3 9TU
63 Beclate Road
Rm3 974-
65 Bedale Road
25 BESALE RORY
: BEDALE RD. HA
BEDALE RI
Bodale Rd
BEDALE ROAD
Sederli Road
Bodale Road

#### Tiverton Grove Open Space -Harold Hill

Known Locally as Tiverton Green

NAME

We the undersigned, demand, that Havering Council **DO NOT** under any circumstances sell off or lease the public open space known locally as Tiverton Green

ADDRESS	
Bedale Del Des 970	
TIVERTON GROVE RM3 9	
BEDACE ROAD RM3 9TX	-
BEHADING ROM RMD 9TX	
BEDALE ROAD KM3 9	TX
BEDALE RD RH39TO	
£ * 17	
, Dagnam Drive	
Dagram Ruh Drive	
11 11	
Dognam Birk Dr. RM39	XL
J	

### Tiverton Grove Open Space -Harold Hill

Known Locally as Tiverton Green

NAME

We the undersigned, demand, that Havering Council **DO NOT** under any circumstances sell off or lease the public open space known locally as Tiverton Green

### Tiverton Grove Open Space -Harold Hill

Known Locally as Tiverton Green

We the undersigned, demand, that Havering Council **DO NOT** under any circumstances sell off or lease the public open space known locally as Tiverton Green

	NAME	ADDRESS
		Bedule RD.
		Tiverton Grove
		DAGNAM PAKK DRUE
		- DAGNAM PARK DRIVE
(		INECTO A GOLOVE
2		
V		
		**************************************

To Mr Borns
adding a arether Property so Whose
to the Road well only add more
Propens

To bondode I feel that Yher Ineen area is a valuel Pant of the natural Balance of the Street

RM3 YHZ

Essex

RM3 7HL

21<sup>st</sup> June 2013

RE; Objection to proposed development to land adjacent to 81 Heaton Ave.

Drawing number: SPS1716

To whom it may concern,

My letter is regarding the purposed planning for the land adjacent to my property and my reasons for objecting to such development.

Reasons for objecting to the purpose development of a one bedroom bungalow on the land directly adjacent to my property

- 1) The Visual impact of the development in this area I feel that it has a high density of concrete. The reason I say this is because of the 15 storey high rise flats not even 20 yards from the site in which you propose to build on. There is also another high rise block of flats within Heaton Avenue not even 200 yards away for the purposed development. Taking away this grass area will offset that balance.
- 2) This area his highly populated with two high rise blocks, numerous maisonettes and two storey flats lining one side of the road and house lining the other. Small grass areas like this next to my property are much appreciated by the residents and local community. These areas represent an area of rural tranquillity that is coherent to a healthy lifestyle.
- 3) I have been told that not so long ago the council sent out a survey asking local resident in this area about what they would like developed within the old garage site directly behind my property. I would assume that this will also be developed in the near future. I would love to see something positive done with the disused garages but I feel that the development of both sites will cause much disruption for residents over a prolonged period of time.
- 4) I myself have a 1 year old daughter and the house on the opposite side of the purposed development has 4 young girls. The girls and their many friends from local resident play in the snow in the winter have water fights in the summer and general uses this grassy area for keeping and staying active. There aren't many places in this street where children can do this.

I would appreciate it if my points where taken into consideration and I look forward to hearing from you in the near future.

Kind regards,

Revuler 1 Essexilis HIL 18th June 2013

Derce Sul Mudace, 595 1716

plant as I have next decorded to the control of house of no national of the control of what plans can this site and and building well bright found and building well bright found by your previous buildings and I really of the Nours Sault Colly

Remport

Ryz 8HO.

Den MR Borns.

Distort through Sources Regarding
Distort open States Havistell will be brite there. 4 hours

now hard that houses are going

Launosten doze Rg3 8 H a has itLaunosten doze Rg3 8 H a has itruns nght the wang along of
house Sived hove 37 years ithas allerengs hore a Play Arch
Note my Cranchilder Come to See

Sinarely ,

A CHARLES OF THE SAME OF THE S

US of talle them clown thete

the Pecy also you can farth con Framely Come Hay allways Paths

cluves there because hairy a class

when they start Building we will have to Rit of with Longs the fore that Start Building. Toll white is going an the Oranes are alknays Block UP that should be also lank in to away it was be usay missey. water was home lots up Rain and and Dumpers talking all the mod ils hand to get Parkell.

(A)	
HAROLD HILL, ESSEX,	
RM3-8HQ.	
20th June 2013.	
Dear Mr. Burns.	
Re: - TAVISTOCK/WIDECOMBE/LAUNCESTON CLOSE,	
DRAWING NO. SPS1717.	
object to the selling of the above land for	
housing development or Road widening.	
The Playground is the only local area where	
residents can spend Leisuse Time to play with our	
children or grandchildren.	
Where our elderly or disabled can take a stroll.	
Visiting relatives and grandchildren play Footy"	
there, or hide and seek in safety.	
Its where we walk our dogs in safety.	
Even some residents of Harold Wood Hall Like to	o o
sit on the park in the sun. And its well used	
when we have snow. Central Park is too far aw	
The Council totally neglected this area and trea	
us like outcasts. For years we tried to get the Pl	
ground equipment replaced, but each time one	of
the main Services did work there, it delayed.	
probability of it happening . We were told some p	lay
park equipment had been Stolen from the yard!	
There are a myriad yas pipes, water pipes, seway	
pipes etc, under the park. Residents Know this	
because we had to suffer the disruption and	
disturbance every few years during their	
installations.	
(The Council's Yard!)	
- ( in a contract for any	

Having houses built on the Playground and
all the discustion it would entail would
all the disruption it would entail, would have a devastating impact on our lives.
The said of the said said said said said said said said
We are also fearful that the trees would be
removed. We are entitled to have them to
protect us from air pollution, noise pollution and
also to give us privacy from the A12 road.
This little playground is the only kit of
greenery we can use, its the only bit
this community has some of us have
even been instrumental in removing
litter from the playground, which blows
through from the A12.
We do not want our park taken away
and we will do everything in our power
to keep it as our Teinire area.
Rather than overdeveloping here and making
all our lives hell The Council ought to
we some of the f2. Trillion its been given to
restore our slayground or do some thing about
vestore our playground or do some thing about our Sinking road and pavements.
I trust you will take my concerns into consideration
I trust you will take my concerns into consideration. And I remain
Your Sincerely
100012000
7. w
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

London Borough of Havering Legal and Democratic Services Town Hall Main Road Romford Essex RM1 3BD

Harold Hill Romford Essex RM3 8HF

7 June 2013

#### Dear Sir/ Madam

I have been living at the same address for over thirty years. The only grassed area at the end of the close where there are fir trees planted there to shield the close from exhaust fumes from the A12 dual carriageway. These trees were planted by the Local Authority when the houses were built. I was informed today by a neighbour that an application for planning permission has been made for building work at the end of the close. This would mean that the close would be hemmed in and the onloutlook from my front garden would be houses. It is only a small area and to cram houses in there will lead to problems with cars and overcrowding.

I found out about this plan by chance. Were you going to inform the residents of Tavistock Close about your plans? I am against these plans going ahead and speaking to my neighbours they feel the same.

Could you inform the residents of Tavistock Close exactly what you intend to do.

Yours sincerely

RS JUL 2013

, k d

Hardd Hill RM38HF 20th June 2013 Tde

Pep Tourstede Close Land SPS1717

I would like to doject to this area
being built upon for the following
frequency
frequency
Noise and air pollution
grand children play sapely.

The Children, grand children play sapely.

The Children grand children play sapely.

The disabled stroll there.

& Parking promlems. Added trappic.

7 Look of privacy. Being overlocked

For many tears and trust -low
will take my concepally here
con sideration

Tours Sincerley

12 Jun 2013

Harold Hill,

9/6/2013

A THE SHALL BE

Romford RM3 8HF

Dear Mr Burns,

I wish to appeal and strongly object to the small park that you want to sell off to builders.

How can you contemplate taking the small park that children play on. Also why have you put

My post code on the notification RM3 8HF. This is my post code and I own the property.

There is a big field facing Neave Crescent in Faringdon Avenue sure you could take a small

Part of that as it is used as a dogs toilet. No one can walk on it without treading in dog mess.

There is also on the park that runs a long my side of my house, large gas pipes that were put there a few years ago.

From my experience with your builders they are liable to rupture them.

The wall that runs along the side of my house in the park had to be rebuilt in 2010.

The builders were disgusting and made a lot of mess. Also the pillars on the wall are crumbling. It is your responsibility to put this right. I have not to long ago wrote to Angela Watkinson Mp About the wall and was told she was notifying the council. It is a matter of health and Safety. If the wall falls on a child, you will be responsible.

I have now contacted her about this matter of selling to builders. It is not our fault that you need Land to build on. The government shouldn't have let all these foreigners in.

Surely children need a park and we need some greenery.

I have 9 disieses and am dealing with breast cancer. Two of which are astma and lung disiese.

I don't think mine or my husbands lungs will be able to take all the dust and mess from a building site. Also the price of my property will go down. It was a asset living next to a park.

I am sick of all the mess constant from the road widening A12 to pipe laying. I thought I would get Some peace now, but here we go again. I am 65 and needed some peace in my life.

I have spoke to neibours and they all object.

I suffer with depression and if this goes ahead it will break me.!!!!!!

I advise you to get the wall sorted before or if you sell the park, as I will have proof of telling you

So if you think to leave it so its not your responsibility, I have told Angela Watkinson over a month

Ago about the wall. I have copies of mail I sent her.p

Your sincerely

for Also I am a end terrace House.

You put a house next to mine and I would be. Therefore I will be losing the value of my house as it will no longer be a cond terrace. I bought this house a end terrace.

Harold Hill

Romford

Essex

**RM3 8HQ** 

24<sup>th</sup> June 2013

Re: Land off Launceston Close and surrounding roads

I am writing to appeal against the planned build of housing on the above mentioned land.

This area is used by Residents for walking their dogs and excercise including those with disabilities who are unable to walk too far to get their exercise.

Our estate has already seen a massive increase in traffic due to the increase in houses being built on empty land over the last few years.

Launceston Close is a narrow road. Vehicles are parked on both sides of the road and therefore would not be wide enough for lorries etc to get through. Resident parking /visitors parking is limited already and could cause more parking issues depending on parking facilities provided for new housing. Also with the increase already, are our roads able to cope with any more traffic that this will cause? Also there will be an increase in traffic noise.

Yours faithfully

- -

-----